

Withdraw and replace: Proposal 7 H (223 Redhead et al.), 7 I (224 Redhead et al.), 7 J (221 Perry), 9 I (220 Perry) 9 J, K, L, M (225, 226, 228, 232 Redhead et al.), 9 REC A (231 Redhead et al.), 10 C (227 Redhead et al.), 15 B & C (229, 230 Redhead et al.)

Refer all proposed examples in the above to the editorial committee to select or reject or modify or move as appropriate.

7.8. Typification of names adopted in one of the works specified in Art. 13.1(d), and thereby sanctioned (Art. 15), may be effected through anything associated with the name in that work, including, in addition to elements included in the protologue (Rec. 8A.4, footnote), any cited specimens, names, and illustrations in the sanctioning work.

9.6bis. A **sanctiotype** is a specimen or illustration associated with the sanctioning work that would not qualify as a lectotype because it is not associated with the protologue but which is selected to serve as the nomenclatural type of a sanctioned name under Arts. 7.8 and 15.

NOTE X: When the sanctioning author excluded the holotype or a previously designated type for an included name attributed to the presumed earlier author, or when the sanctiotype is an element explicitly or implicitly excluded from the protologue of the earlier name, the sanctioning author is considered to have created a later homonym (under Art. 48.1) whose sanctioned status confers protection against the original name.

NOTE Y: Previously designated types for sanctioned names that conform to the definition of sanctiotype and were designated differently (type, lectotype) are to be considered sanctiotypes under Art. 9.8.

9.17bis. The author who first designates a sanctiotype (whether as such or when using earlier Codes, either by previously recognizing or by designating a ‘type’ now eligible to serve as a sanctiotype – see Art. 9.6bis) must be followed unless the name was previously lectotypified or when it is in serious conflict with the sanctioning treatment.

Rec. 9Cnew Prop. A Add the following new Recommendation:

9C.1 It is recommended that, when not nomenclaturally destabilizing and not in conflict with the sanctioning treatment, a lectotype rather than a sanctiotype be selected from original materials.”

10.2. If in the protologue of the name of a genus or of any subdivision of a genus the holotype or lectotype of one or more previously or simultaneously published species name(s) is definitely included (see Art. 10.3), the type must be chosen (Art. 7.10 and 7.11) from among these types unless: **a)** the type was indicated (Art. 22.6, 22.7, 37.1 and 37.3) or designated by the author of the name; **b) the name was sanctioned in which case the type must be chosen from the holotype, lectotype or sanctiotype of sanctioned species names included by the sanctioning author.** If no type of a previously or simultaneously published species name was definitely included, a type must be otherwise chosen, but the choice is to be superseded if it can be demonstrated that the selected type is not conspecific with any of the material associated with the protologue or for sanctioned names associated with the name in a sanctioning work.

10.5. The author who first designates a type of a name of a genus or subdivision of a genus must be followed, but the choice may be superseded if **(a)** it can be shown that it is in serious conflict with the protologue (or for sanctioned names the sanctioning treatment) and another element is available which is not in conflict with the protologue or sanctioning work, or **(b)** that it was based on a largely mechanical method of selection.

**In Art. 15 add a new Note:**

*Note 2.* The type of a sanctioned name that is conserved need not be a sanctiotype (cf. Art. 15.6).

**Implement the following corollary changes:**

In Art. 7.5, add “, nor to their basionyms.” after the phrase “does not apply to names sanctioned under Art. 15.”

In Art. 8.1 add: “, sanctiotype” after the word “lectotype”.

In Rec. 8A add: “, a sanctiotype” after the word “lectotype”.

In Art. 9.6 add at the end: “, or in the case of sanctioned names, no specimen or illustration would qualify to serve as a sanctiotype”.

In Art. 9.7, add: “, sanctiotype,” after each use of “lectotype”.

In Art. 9.11 add: “, or for sanctioned names, if all elements qualified to serve as a sanctiotype are missing” after the word “missing” and add “or sanctiotype” after the word lectotype.

In Art. 9.14 add: “or sanctiotype” after the word lectotype, and add “, or for sanctioned names, all other elements qualified to serve as a sanctiotype” after the phrase “original material”.

In Art. 9.15 add: “, sanctiotype” after the word lectotype, and add “, sanctiotypification” after the word “lectotypification”.

In Art. 9.16 replace: “or lectotype” with “, lectotype or sanctiotype”.

In Art. 10 Note 1, replace: ‘and “lectotype” ’ with ‘, “lectotype”, and “sanctiotype” ’.

withdrawn (2967)  
and replaced  
by new set of  
proposals  
(not printed),  
accepted